

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

124

CWP No. 8270 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of decision: 02.05.2016

Nand Lal Chhabra

....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice P.B. Bajanthri

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate for the petitioner.

P.B. Bajanthri, J. (Oral)

In the instant petition, the petitioner has questioned the communication dated 19.08.2015 issued under Right to Information Act, 2005. In Annexure P-17, para 3, it is made clear that if the petitioner is not satisfied with the reply, he has to file appeal before the appellate authority within 30 days. Therefore, the petitioner is at liberty to file an appeal before the appellate authority. The respondents No.2 and 3 are directed to verify the claim of the petitioner and to issue a speaking order so as to on what ground, the petitioner is not entitled to 75% disability pension within a period of 2 months from the receipt of this order.

Civil writ petition stands disposed of.

02.05.2016

pooja saini

**(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**