

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.106

CWP-8268-2016 (O&M)

Decided on : 26.05.2016

Mukesh Kumar and others

..... Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr.Naveen Daryal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (ORAL)

Through the present petition, the petitioners who are Drivers/Conductors in the Haryana Roadways and fourteen in number, seek quashing of order dated 27.04.2016 (Annexure P-3), through which they had been ordered to be shifted from Panipat Depot to Sirsa Depot of the Haryana Roadways.

When the matter came up for hearing on 02.05.2016, learned counsel for the petitioners had submitted that as per the impugned order, after portraying the petitioners as the junior-most regular Conductors they had been ordered to be shifted from Panipat Depot to Sirsa Depot of the Haryana Roadways. The Court's attention was drawn to a list of other Conductors alongwith the dates of regularisation of their services and on the basis thereof it was submitted that those persons were junior to the petitioners and needed to be shifted in the petitioners' place. As the dates/order of regularisation of the petitioners' services was not on record which would show them to be senior/junior to the persons mentioned in the

list referred to above, I had directed learned counsel for the petitioners to place on record the order regularising the petitioners' services. Three opportunities were granted but the direction was not complied with. Finally, through CM-5721-2016, order of regularisation of the services of only one out of the fourteen petitioners was sought to be placed on record and when this application alongwith the main petition came up for hearing, learned counsel for the petitioners was asked to comply with the direction contained in the order of this Court dated 02.05.2016. However, no such prayer was made before the Court for grant of further time to place on record the dates/order regularising the services of each of the petitioners, as directed earlier and which according to me is a crucial fact required to determine the issue raised in the present petition. For non-compliance of order of this Court dated 02.05.2016 as also for withholding crucial information, the only fate that the present petition deserves is dismissal.

Ordered accordingly.

26.05.2016
shamsher

[DEEPAK SIBAL]
JUDGE