

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.8256 of 2016

Date of decision: May 02, 2016.

Amar Singh

... **Petitioner**

v.

Director, Rural Development, Punjab and others

... **Respondents**

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT**
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Shri Naresh Chander, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Surya Kant, J. (Oral):

The petitioner seeks a direction to the respondent-authorities for taking an appropriate decision on the application moved by him for the exchange of his land measuring 2 kanal 0 marla with the land of Block Samiti, Lohian, situated within the revenue estate of village Mahirajwala, Tehsil Shahkot, District Jalandhar. It is claimed that the Panchayat Samiti has also passed a resolution (Annexure P-4) for the exchange of this land with that of the petitioner and the said resolution was duly forwarded by Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Lohian Khas to District Development and Panchayat Officer, Jalandhar vide Annexure P-5 but no decision thereafter has been taken by the authorities.

Keeping in view the fact that the matter is stated to be still pending consideration, however, without expressing any views on the merits of the case, we dispose of this writ petition with a direction to respondents

No.1 to 3 to ascertain the correct facts and thereafter take a decision in the matter within three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

[Surya Kant]
Judge

May 02, 2016.
kadyan

[A.B. Chaudhari]
Judge