

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.8251 of 2016
Date of decision:25.10.2016**

Vikas Hooda

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr.Charanjit, Advocate for
Mr.Vikas Lochab, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr.R.K.Doon, AAG, Haryana.
Mr.Sunil Kumar Nehra, Advocate.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the State refers to the enquiry report dated 28.10.2015 (Annexure R-2) and also the communication dated 27.11.2015 (Annexure R-6), whereby said enquiry report was accepted and the complaint was filed. He further submits that in view of the above-said developments, which were not brought to the notice of this Court by the learned counsel for the petitioner at the time of filing of the present writ petition, no further orders are called for and the instant writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

Faced with the above, learned counsel for the petitioner also fairly states that let the present writ petition be disposed of, in terms of the statement made by the learned counsel for the State, but liberty may be granted to the petitioner to pursue his remedies, before the appropriate forum, in accordance with law, including laying challenge to the order passed by the Superintendent of Police, Sonipat, filing the complaint of the petitioner vide Annexure R-6.

In view of the above-said respective stands taken by the learned counsel for the parties, instant writ petition is disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous, however, with liberty as prayed for.

25.10.2016
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether Reportable	: Yes/No