

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.8233 of 2016
Decided on : 04.05.2016

Sanjeev Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

Punjab Public Service Commission, Baradari Garden, Patiala
through its Chairman

... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA

Present : Petitioner-in-person.

Mr.Kamal Sehgal, Addl.A.G, Punjab.

G.S. Sandhawalia, J. (Oral)

Short affidavit, on behalf of the respondent, has been
filed. Relevant portion of the same reads as under:

“2. That the marks of written test are not made public while short listing candidates for interview. This is done with the purpose. If the same were to be made public before the interview, it would be possible for the candidates to lobby with some members for the exact score in the interview which would enable him/her to finally make the merit. For example if candidate 'A' is ahead of a candidate 'B' by 2 marks in the written examination, he/she knows that a difference of 3 marks in his/her favour would enable him/her to make the grade. Armed with this knowledge, it would very convenient for him/her to lobby for a favorable score in the interview. The second reason for not disclosing the written score before the interview is that there are certain categories in which there are only as many candidates available as there are posts. In such a scenario, if the candidates of a particular category come to know that they are the only ones available for the posts there is no importance of the interview for them. They would know that even if they score a 'zero' in the interview,

they would make the grade and therefore, they need not prepare for the interview. Under such circumstances, it will be impossible to judge the personality of a candidate which is the sole aim of the interview.

3. That as far as the petitioner is concerned, he belongs to the category of Scheduled Castes (others), Punjab. The cut off score of this category is 181.50. The petitioner's score is 130.50 therefore, he has not been shortlisted."

From the perusal of the above, it would be clear that the petitioner's score is much less than the cut-off score.

Petitioner, who is present-in-person, thus, in view of the said affidavit filed, is satisfied and does not press the present writ petition and the same is, hereby, dismissed as not pressed.

In view of the above contents of the affidavit, the other issue of declaration of the result also seem to be justified. Accordingly, no further orders are called for on that issue, at this stage.

MAY 04, 2016
sailsh

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE