

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

231

CWP-9053-2015 (O&M)

Date of decision:26.10.2016

Mukesh Devi

.... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice P.B. Bajanthri

Present: Mr. Sunil Nehra, Advocate for the petitioner.

None for respondents No.1 and 2.

Ms. Balwinder Kaur, Advocate for respondent No.3.

P.B. Bajanthri, J. (Oral)

In the present petition, the petitioner has sought for writ of mandamus directing the respondents to grant ex-gratia lump sum compensation and appointment.

Respondent No.3 has filed written statement in which it is stated that on 07.05.2015 extraordinary family pension has been granted in terms of the Central Civil Service Extra Ordinary Pension Rules. In so far as appointment is concerned in the absence of representation the same cannot be considered. The petitioner admitted that for the purpose of employment no representation has been submitted.

In view of these facts and circumstances, the petitioner is directed to submit a representation for employment. If such representation is submitted the respondents are directed to consider the same sympathetically in accordance with scheme/Rules within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of the representation.

Petition stands disposed of.

**(P.B.BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

26.10. 2016

pooja saini

Whether speaking/reasons

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No