

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

117

**CWP-8157-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 02.05.2016**

MAHAVIR SINGH

....Petitioner

Versus

CENTRAL WAREHOUSING CORPORATION AND ORS.

.... Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice P.B. Bajanthri

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. S.K.Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

P.B. Bajanthri, J. (Oral)

In the instant writ petition, the petitioner has prayed for quashing the impugned action of the respondent Corporation. However, no order has been produced which is adverse to the petitioner. The 2nd prayer is for direction to decide the application pending before the Commissioner Provident Fund, Rohtak dated 17.04.2011. It was noticed that the petitioner has not impleaded Commissioner, Provident Fund, Rohtak, Haryana as a party.

The petitioner is permitted to implead the Commissioner, Provident Fund, Karnal, Haryana State as 5th respondent. The grievance of the petitioner, in this petition is that the petitioners are stated to have worked as Handling Labourer with the Central Warehousing Corporation at Depot Narwana District Jind, since about 20 to 25 years. The petitioners have served Central Warehousing Corporation through the Contractors.

The Contractors like, 4th respondent and other contractors like Rohtash and Company, Jaswant Singh and Company, Vishavnath and Company, Paramjit Singh Contractor and Hardy Singh and Company are liable to deposit provident Fund. It is learnt that they have not deposited. Consequently, the petitioners have requested for release of EPF and pension funds of the petitioner from 1.4.2000 to 31.3.2011 along with interest @18% etc. The said claim is pending consideration before 5th respondent-Commissioner, Provident Fund, Karnal, Haryana since 17.04.2011. No action has been taken till date. 5th respondent is directed to consider the claim of the petitioner stated in Annexure P-4 dated 17.4.2011 within a period of 2 months and the decision taken be communicated to the petitioner.

With the above observation, CWP stands disposed of.

02.05.2016

pooja saini

**(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**