

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Sr. No.223**

**CWP No.8984 of 2015  
Date of decision: 29.04.2016**

Ved Singh

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL**

Present: Mr. Manoj Makkar, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, AAG, Haryana.

\* \* \*

**DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)**

The petitioner who is serving the respondents as a Clerk on contract basis on DC rates, has filed the present petition seeking directions to the respondents not to replace him by another contractual employee.

While issuing notice of motion, this Court had granted interim relief in favour of the petitioner to the effect that he shall not be replaced by another contractual employee.

Today, at the hearing of the matter, learned State counsel, on instructions from Mr. Vinod Gupta, Deputy Superintendent, Department of Higher Education, Government of Haryana, submits that the petitioner would not be replaced by another contractual employee subject to his good work and conduct and existence of work load.

The aforementioned statement made on behalf of the State satisfies the learned counsel for the petitioner and on such expression of satisfaction, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**April 29, 2016**  
*Jyoti 1*