

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.225

CWP No.8944 of 2015

Date of decision: 07.01.2016

Ramesh Kumar

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Ram Niwas Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Through the present petition, the petitioner had prayed for promotion to the post of Assistant from the date his juniors were promoted.

Today, learned counsel for the State of Haryana submits that vide order dated 30.12.2015, the petitioner has been given promotion with effect from the date his juniors were promoted and the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Acknowledging this fact, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been promoted with effect from the date his juniors were promoted but no arrears have been given. For that, counsel for the petitioner prays for and is granted liberty to make a comprehensive representation to the respondents.

If any such representation, the liberty of which has been sought, is made by the petitioner, respondent No.2 is directed to take a decision thereupon expeditiously but not later than four months from the date of receipt of such representation by passing a well reasoned and speaking order and after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

Needless to add that the decision so taken be communicated to the petitioner and if the decision is not to the satisfaction of the petitioner, he would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

January 07, 2016
Jyoti 1