IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

F.A.O. No.10083 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 20.05.2016

National Insurance Company Ltd. ..... Appellant

versus

Pardeep Singh and another ..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

***

Present : Mr. Sandeep Suri, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. C.L. Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

***

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

CM-11018-CII-2015

For the reasons recorded, the application is allowed subject to all just exceptions. A copy of the driving licence (Annexure A-1) is taken on record.

FAO-10083-2014

This appeal has been filed by the insurance company against the order dated 16.07.2014 whereby it was directed to pay Rs.4,43,641/- to the respondent No.1-claimant alongwith interest.

Ashish
2016.05.25 13:36
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

The main grouse of the appellant is that the driving licence of the owner-cum-driver-respondent No.2 was not satisfactorily proved and therefore the insurance company should be absolved from liability. Earlier the learned counsel for the appellant sought an adjournment to verify the aforesaid driving licence. Today he has produced before this Court a report of the Surveyor which carries the verification report by the DTO and as per which it has been verified that the licence in question is genuine. A copy of the surveyor report is taken on record as Mark 'A'.

In the circumstances, no useful purpose would be served by keeping this appeal pending. Appeal is dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

May 20, 2016
ashish

Ashish
2016.05.25 13:36
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh