

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.7992 of 2016

Date of Decision:- 28.4.2016.

Shyam Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

Union of India and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Ashwani Chopra, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Amrit Grewal, Advocate for the petitioners.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J.

The petitioners have sought for a direction to the respondents to make available the pension as per Central Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1972. It was noticed that there is no demand from the petitioners to the Union of India concerned Department to extend benefit of pension under the Central Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1972. In the absence of demand, this Court cannot give direction to the respondents. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the petition with liberty to the petitioners to approach the concerned Authority in the Union of India.

Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn.

**(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

April 28, 2016.

sandeep sethi