

CWP No.7857 of 2016

[ 1 ]

\*\*\*\*

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.7857 of 2016  
Date of decision:28.04.2016**

Bishan Dass and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Rakesh Kumar Jain**

Present: Ms. Manveen Kahlon, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*\*

**Rakesh Kumar Jain, J. (Oral)**

Petitioner No.1 has retired from the Fire Brigade Department and is living with his wife at village Galrian. They have two sons, namely, Joginder Pal and Raj Pal. Joginder Pal is serving in the M.E.S. and is presently posted in Jammu and Kashmir, whereas their another son Raj Pal is a daily wager and living with his wife. The petitioners, due to bad behaviour of their sons, disowned both of them. The house, in which the petitioners are living, belongs to them and is also being used by their son Raj Pal and his wife. In the past, wife of Joginder Pal had also filed a case under Section 498-A of the IPC against the petitioners though, during investigation, they were exonerated. Hence, dismayed with the bad behaviour of their sons, the petitioners have filed a petition under Section 22(2)(i) of the Punjab Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Rules, 2012 before the District Magistrate, Gurdaspur, in which no

CWP No.7857 of 2016

[ 2 ]

\*\*\*\*

action has been initiated so far.

At present, the petitioners have prayed that they would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to initiate proceedings in their complaint/petition dated 27.11.2014 (Annexure P-2) and the same is decided as early as possible.

Keeping in view the prayer made by the petitioners, the present petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to initiate proceedings in the complaint/petition filed by the petitioners dated 27.11.2014 (Annexure P-2) immediately and decide the same as early as possible, preferably within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order, after giving opportunity of hearing to the other parties as well.

**April 28, 2016**  
vinod\*

**(Rakesh Kumar Jain)**  
**Judge**