

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.7850 of 2016
Date of decision:28.04.2016

Dhillon Transport Regd., Mansa ...Petitioner

Versus

The State Transport Commissioner, Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Rakesh Kumar Jain

Present: Mr. P.S.Bawa, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Rakesh Kumar Jain, J. (Oral)

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner had made a representation to respondent Nos.2 to 4 to initiate action against the illegal operation of permit by respondent No.4 on Sardulgarh-Bathinda via Rori-Surtia route without having any time table, as required under Section 72(2) of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 but his representation is not being considered, as a result thereof, the petitioner had to file the present petition.

The only prayer made by the petitioner is to direct Secretary, Regional Transport Authority, Bathinda to decide his representation as early as possible.

Since the prayer made by the petitioner is found to be innocuous, therefore, the present petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representation of the petitioner dated 02.02.2016 (Annexure P-4) as early as possible, preferably within a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

April 28, 2016
vinod*

(Rakesh Kumar Jain)
Judge