

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANAAT
CHANDIGARH**

FAO No.M-26 of 2012(O&M)

Date of Decision: January 7 , 2016.

Baldev Singh

..... APPELLANT (s)

Versus

Parminder Kaur

..... RESPONDENT (s)

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJIVE BHALLA
HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL**

Present: Mr. Gurinder Pal Singh, Advocate
for the appellant.

None for the respondent.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reports or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

RAJIVE BHALLA, J.

The appeal was filed by the husband – Baldev Singh, challenging order dated 30.08.2011 passed by the Additional District Judge, Fatehabad dismissing his petition for grant of a decree of divorce.

Neither the respondent nor any counsel on her behalf is present.

Counsel for the appellant states, on instructions which he has received from a family member of the appellant, that parties have, during the

pendency of the appeal, been granted a decree of divorce by mutual consent. The appeal has, therefore, been rendered infructuous and may be disposed of accordingly.

We have heard learned counsel for the appellant and as we find no reason to doubt correctness of the statement made by counsel for the appellant, dismiss the appeal as infructuous with liberty to seek revival, in case, the statement made by the counsel for the appellant, is incorrect.

(RAJIVE BHALLA)
JUDGE

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

January 7 , 2016.
'om'