

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.7762 of 2016

Date of Decision : 14.07.2016

Ved Parkash

... Petitioner

Versus

Permanent Lok Adalat and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present : Mr. N.S. Panwar, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (Oral)

This petition is filed against the order dated 21.07.2015 by which application filed by the petitioner under Section 22-C of the Legal Services Authorities Act, 1987 (for short 'the Act') has been allowed directing the respondents therein to refund an amount of ₹5,00,500/- along with interest @ 12% per annum.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the amount of ₹5,00,500/- has already been returned and accepted by the petitioner but he is now aggrieved only against the rate of interest, as according to him, it should have been more than 12%.

I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and after examining the record, am of the considered opinion that the interest awarded to the petitioner is adequate. Therefore, there is no merit in the present petition.

Dismissed.

July 14, 2016
jt

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE