

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.86 of 2015
Date of decision:11.3.2016**

Malkit Singh Gill

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & ors.

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. SC Pathela, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vaibhav Sharma, DAG, Punjab.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

Costs paid in Court. Reply by way of short affidavit has been filed in Court, is taken on record.

In view of the position explained in para 3 of the affidavit, this petition is disposed of by recording that the statement in para 3 will be read as undertaking to this Court. It is expected that the actual monetary benefits will be handed over to the petitioner within two months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

11.3.2016
monika