

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.8468 of 2015
Date of decision: 20.12.2016

Sandeep Singh and others

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. B.M. Vinayak, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. N.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for respondents No.4 to 9.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (ORAL)

Feeling aggrieved against the orders dated 08.01.2015 (Annexure P-4) and 05.06.2014 (Annexure P-2) passed by the respondent canal authorities, petitioners have approached this Court, by way of present writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of certiorari, for quashing the impugned orders.

Notice of motion was issued and in compliance thereof, reply was filed on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

When the case came up for hearing on 08.07.2016, following order was passed by this Court: -

“Learned counsel for respondents No.4 to 9 submits that parties have arrived at an amicable settlement. However, he seeks time to place on record relevant documents in this regard.

On his request, adjourned to 28.7.2016.”

Learned counsel for respondents No.4 to 9, while placing before this Court a copy of compromise dated 22.07.2016, submits that the parties have amicably settled the matter.

The abovesaid statement made by learned counsel for private contesting respondents No.4 to 9 and also the written compromise dated 22.07.2016 have gone undisputed before this Court, as nobody has come present on behalf of the petitioners.

In view of the above, present writ petition is disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous. However, it is made clear that parties to the compromise shall be bound by the terms and conditions thereof.

Disposed of, accordingly.

[RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK]
JUDGE

20.12.2016
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No