

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.7623 of 2016(O&M)
Date of Decision: April 26, 2016

Tarsem Singh

....Petitioner

versus

Union Territory, Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE A.B.CHAUDHARI.

Present: Mr.Namit Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

-. -

1. *Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The instant writ petition is filed against an interlocutory order passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench whereby ad-interim stay for the petitioner's continuation in service as Inspector in Chandigarh Police now beyond the age of 59 years, has been declined.

Since the matter is still pending consideration before the Tribunal, we are of the considered view that no case to interfere with the order not allowing the petitioner to continue in service is made out. In case the petitioner succeeds in the Original Application, it is obvious that the Tribunal shall be at liberty to grant consequential benefits.

The Tribunal shall make an endeavour to decide the Original Application expeditiously.

The writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

April 26, 2016
mohinder

[A.B.CHAUDHARI]
JUDGE