

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

C.W.P No. 7571 of 2016

Date of decision : 26.04.2016

R.K. Tandan

...Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Naveen Daryal, Advocate for
Mr. Bikram Chaudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RITU BAHRI , J. (Oral)

Petitioner is seeking issuance of direction to the respondents to allocate him the parents department i.e M.C. Panipat, as per letter dated 21.06.2000 (P-2).

A detailed representation dated 25.12.2013 (P-4) has been given by the petitioner in this regard, but no action has been taken.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states, that at this stage, the petitioner will be satisfied, if directions are issued to respondent to decide the representation, referred to above.

In view of above, without commenting on the merits of the case, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the representation dated 25.12.2013 (P-4) of the petitioner by

GAURAV ARORA
2016.05.17 14:57
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

passing a speaking order, in accordance with law, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order, in terms of order dated 31.03.2016 (P-6)

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

26.04.2016

G Arora