

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 8406 of 2015

Date of decision:5.9.2016

Sh. DK Mangla & ors.

... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Haryana & ors.

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Lekh Raj Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Ms. Gitanjali Chhabra, Advocate, for
Mr. Raman B. Garg, Advocate,
for respondents No.5 to 6.

Mr. Deepak Manchanda, Advocate,
for respondent No.10.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

Mr. L.R.Sharma submits that this matter has been rendered infructuous since the petitioners have been promoted to the higher post. However, the entire relief has not been granted with the passing of the fresh order and some part of it may remain unresolved for which he prays that he may be given liberty to challenge the disputed part. What that part is he has not explained but has been instructed by his clients to leave an opening substantial relief coming their way.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of as infructuous with the liberty to seek legal redress in case part of the grievance remains. In so far as costs imposed on respondent No.10 vide interim order dated March 10, 2016 is concerned, those orders are recalled in view of the submission

made by Mr. Sharma, which has led to the disposal of this case. Costs were imposed as last opportunity was granted to file reply but the same has not been put in. But since the matter has been rendered infructuous on the showing of the petitioners themselves, the order as to costs is recalled since the necessity of filing written statement by respondent No 10 does not arise.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

5.09.2016
monika

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes / No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes / No</i>