

**111 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

C. W. P. No.7536 of 2016

Date of decision:26.04.2016

Padam Singh & others

... Petitioners

VS.

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

* * *

To be referred to Reporters or not ?

Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

* * *

Present : Mr. Deepak Sonak, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL , J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that at this stage, he would be satisfied if the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to take a decision on the representation dated 07.04.2016 (Annexure P-13), submitted by the petitioners.

I find the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners to be reasonable.

Accordingly, at this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to take a decision on the representation dated 07.04.2016 (Annexure P-13), submitted by the petitioners, by passing a speaking order and after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioners, preferably within four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

C. W. P. No.25864 of 2015 :2:

Needless to add the decision so taken be communicated to the petitioners and if the decision is not to the satisfaction of the petitioners, they would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.

**(Deepak Sibal)
Judge**

April 26, 2016
rimpal