

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.7483 of 2016

Date of Decision:- 25.4.2016.

Jamal Din

.....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. P.M. Kansal, Advocate for
Mr. D.R. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has questioned the show cause notice dated 2.2.2016. It was also noticed that on 16.2.2016 he has submitted a detailed reply and the same is yet to be considered by the respondents. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

The petitioner is at liberty to question retirement action as and when the final order is passed by the respondents.

**(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

April 25, 2016.

sandeep sethi