

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.9523 of 2014

Date of Decision: 21.07.2016

Ram Avtar and others

... Petitioners

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. R.K. Hooda, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. P.S. Poonia, Advocate,
for respondents No.2 & 3.

Ms. Palak Jain, Advocate,
for respondents No.4 & 5.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.

Respondent No.4 has resigned from the post of Sports Officer while respondent No.5 has retired on reaching the age of superannuation. In this case, the selection of respondent No.4 was challenged by the petitioners as well as respondent No.5.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that with supervening events the cause of action has changed when the policy has been changed by respondents No.2 and 3 which makes the petitioners ineligible. Therefore, a prayer is made that they may be allowed to withdraw this petition to file a fresh one to challenge the recruitment policy for the post of Sports Officer.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

21.07.2016
manju