

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.7432 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 06.09.2016

Surendra Kumar Bansal

.... Petitioner

versus

Union of India & ors.

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: Mr. Kashish Garg, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Verma, Advocate
for Union of India.

Ms. Seema, Advocate for
Ms. Ranjana Shahi, Advocate
for Union of India.

Rakesh Kumar Jain, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner has admitted that FDR has been released but interest has not been paid on the said FDR.

Learned counsel for the respondent has contested the issue on interest.

Be that as it may, the present petition has become infructuous and is dismissed as such. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to file representation before the competent authority for payment of interest on the amount retained by the respondent as FDR. If such a representation is filed within a period of 15 days after the receiving of certified copy of the order, respondents are directed to decide the said representation within a period of two months by passing a speaking order.

06.09.2016
sonia

**(Rakesh Kumar Jain)
Judge**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned?
2. *Whether Reportable?*

Yes/No
Yes/No