

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.827 of 2015 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 17.03.2016

Rajinder Kumar Gupta

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE S.J. VAZIFDAR, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Rahul Dev Singh, DAG, Haryana

Ms. Supriya Garg, Advocate for respondents
No.2 and 3

..

S.J. VAZIFDAR, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral):

The petition is devoid of material particulars. The allotment is in favour of another party and not the petitioner. The petitioner claims to have purchased the property from the original allottee. The petitioner also claims that the respondents have transferred the property to his name. Evidence in respect thereof is not annexed to the petition. Even the demand notice has not been annexed to the petition.

2. In view of the above, the petitioner does not have *locus standi* to demand an occupation certificate. The petition is, therefore, dismissed with liberty to file a fresh petition after furnishing full particulars.

(S.J. VAZIFDAR)
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

17.03.2016
parkash*

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE