

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Writ Petition No. 7357 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 04.08.2016**

Ram Bilas

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: None for the petitioner.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner-Ram Bilas was appointed as a Helper w.e.f. 19.09.1992 on monthly wages of Rs. 1,800/- per month with the erstwhile Punjab State Electricity Board (PSEB). His services were terminated on 28.02.1999 without holding of enquiry or payment of compensation.

Upon a reference made, learned Labour Court, Bathinda, vide decision dated 11.09.2008 found that termination of the services of the petitioner workman was legal and valid.

The petitioner filed CWP No. 3123 of 2009 challenging the award dated 11.09.2008 (**Annexure P-1**). During the course of hearing, the petitioner gave-up his claim qua the challenge to the award, however, made a prayer that the petitioner workman would be permitted to make a representation to the Board (Punjab State Power Corporation Limited successor) for fresh appointment on the now available work, the said writ

petition was disposed of vide order dated 30.03.2010 (**Annexure P-2**). The

operative portion reads as under:-

“In case, such a representation is made, the same shall be considered sympathetically by respondents and dispose of the same within a period of four weeks from the date of submission of such representation.”

Thereafter, the petitioner filed a writ petition bearing CWP No. 25106 of 2014, which was got dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to approach the respondents for filing a representation for sympathetic consideration for fresh engagement. It is averred that the representations dated 09.04.2014 and 18.05.2015 (**Annexure P-5 and P-6, respectively**) have not been considered.

From the material on record, it is apparent that the petitioner has already lost his case up to this Court qua his initial termination ordered by the PSEB. The direction only by this Court was for a sympathetic consideration within a period of four weeks from the date of a representation vide order dated 30.03.2010 (**P-2**). Much water has flown and if there was any violation of such direction, it was open for the petitioner to initiate contempt proceedings, however, at this belated stage, no further request of the petitioner can be entertained.

In view of above, present petition stands dismissed.

August 04, 2016

'dk kamra'

(**JASWANT SINGH**)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No