

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

FAO No.677 of 2012 (O&M)
Date of decision : 25.01.2016

Suresh Kumar Kapoor and another ...Appellants

Versus

G.E. Money Housing Finance and another ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present:- Mr. Vikram Rathore, Advocate for
Mr. Jaideep Verma, Advocate for appellants.

Mr. J.S. Khattar, Advocate for
Mr. Deepak Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.1.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the respondent No.1 submits that appellants in pursuance of the compromise, have paid entire outstanding amount and nothing is due against the respondents, therefore, nothing survives in the present appeal.

In view of such statement, which is not disputed by the learned counsel for appellants, nothing survives in the present appeal.

Accordingly, present appeal is disposed of as infructuous.

25.01.2016

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**