

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

F.A.O.No.1234 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of Decision: February 03, 2016

Amritsar Improvement Trust, Amritsar

...Appellant

Versus

The Commissioner, Jalandhar Division, Jalandhar & another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr.Baltej Singh Sidhu, Advocate,
for the appellant.

Mr.Anil Chawla, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

For orders, see F.A.O.No.1227 of 2013 (Amritsar
Improvement Trust, Amritsar Versus The Commissioner, Jalandhar
Division, Jalandhar & others), decided on 3.2.2016.

February 03 , 2016
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE