

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.7969 of 2015
Date of decision: 11.08.2016**

Poonam

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. Balwinder Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Kshitij Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. S.S. Mann, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Naveen Jaglan, Advocate for
Mr. S.S. Gill, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

Mr. Samir Rathaur, Advocate
for respondents No.3 & 4.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for respondents No.3 and 4 submits that since the petitioner has been granted due compensation vide order dated 09.06.2016 passed by the District Legal Services Authority, Panipat, present writ petition has been rendered infructuous and the same may be disposed of, as such.

Faced with the above, learned counsel for the petitioner also fairly states that let the present writ petition be disposed of, on the basis of the statement made by learned counsel for respondents No.3 and 4, but since he is not having definite instructions from the petitioner, liberty may be granted to the petitioner to get the present writ petition revived, if necessity arises.

In view of the abovesaid statements made by learned counsel for the parties, present writ petition is ordered to be disposed of, with liberty to the petitioner to get the present writ petition revived, if necessity arises.

Disposed of, accordingly.

[RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK]
JUDGE

11.08.2016
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No