

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 7124 of 2016
Date of decision: 21.04.2016

Jiwan Kumari

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA

Present: Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

G.S.SANDHAWALIA, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks release of her pension including commuted value of pension, death-cum-retirement gratuity and GPF accumulations admissible to her upon her superannuation as Mukh Sevika on 31.05.2015 alongwith interest @ 18%.

Counsel submits that the petitioner joined the services as Bal Sevika under the respondents on 07.11.1977 and retired on 31.05.2015 from the office of respondent no. 6, the Development Officer (Women Programme), Patiala.

Counsel submits that various representations dated 23.12.2015 and 06.01.2016 (Annexures P-2 and P-3) have already been served upon the respondents for the release of retiral benefits but no action has been taken on the same. Counsel further submits that he would be satisfied if the same are decided within a time bound frame.

Notice of motion.

Mr. R.S. Sidhu, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the

respondents. Copy of the writ petition has been supplied to him in Court.

Keeping in view the limited relief sought, this Court is of the opinion that there is no need for the respondents to file reply.

Accordingly, without commenting on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no. 6 to take action on the representations dated 23.12.2015 and 06.01.2016 (Annexures P-2 and P-3) within a period of 2 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. If the amount is found to be due and there is no legal impediment, the same shall be paid to the petitioner within a period of 1 month thereafter. The said respondents shall also ensure that the liability to pay the interest element due to the delay in disbursement is also decided in view of the Full Bench judgment of this Court in ***A.S.Randhawa Vs. State of Punjab & others 1997 (3) SCT 468***. Needless to say if the relief is to be denied, a reasoned order be passed and conveyed to the petitioner.

21.04.2016
shivani

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE