

110

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.7093 of 2016

Date of decision: April 21, 2016

Anshika

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

Present: Mr. Anil Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

SURYA KANT, J. (Oral)

The petitioner questions the election of fourth respondent as Sarpanch of the gram Panchayat of village Ramana Ramani, Tehsil Pundri, District Kaithal on the plea that the matriculation certificate relied upon by the fourth respondent in support of her eligibility to contest the election, is forged and fabricated. The election was held on 17.01.2016. The petitioner has fairly averred in Para 10 of the writ petition that she has filed election petition under Section 176 of the Haryana Panchayati Raj Act, 1994, which is pending for adjudication.

Since the above stated factual issue can be effectively adjudicated by the Election Tribunal in the

pending election petition, we dispose of this writ petition with a direction to the learned Tribunal to make an endeavor to decide the election petition within six months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

[A.B. CHAUDHARI]
JUDGE

April 21, 2016
mahavir