

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

CWP No.7079 of 2016

Date of decision: April 12, 2016

Tarsem Chand

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.J. VAZIFDAR, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Rajbir Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

S.J. VAZIFDAR, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

The petitioner's grievance is that his tenders were the lowest for the four organizations, namely, PSWC, PUNSUP, MARKFED and PUNGRAIN. However, one of the bidders upon negotiations bid a rate more competitive than the petitioner. Clause 11 of the NIT permits the respondents to award the contract for all the agencies to the lowest bidder provided he is willing to take at the lowest rate quoted by him for any of the tenders. Thus, it was agreed as to how Clause 11 correctly reads.

From the documents on record, it appears that the petitioner's bid was 54% ASOR whereas the bid of another bidder was 52% ASOR. The email dated 05.04.2016 states that the petitioner did not attend the meeting for the negotiations. The record, in any event, indicates that the petitioner's bid was 54%.

In the circumstances, the petition is dismissed.

**(S.J. Vazifdar)
Acting Chief Justice**

**(Arun Palli)
Judge**

April 12, 2016

jt