

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.7040 of 2016

Date of Decision:- 12.04.2016

Anirudh Sharma

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

**Present: Mr. Kiran Pal Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.**

**Mr. Gurminder Singh, Senior Advocate
with Ms. Vriti Gujral, Advocate
for the Caveators.**

RITU BAHRI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the order dated 27.02.2016 passed in LPANo.1168 of 2015 (Annexure P-9), learned State counsel has stated that in view of the decision taken by the Government of Haryana, vide letter dated 26.02.2016, the candidates who have been found innocent in the report shall be offered appointment. At the same time, the appointment given to non-tainted candidates be not interfered with. In view of said letter dated 26.02.2016, the LPA was partly allowed.

Pursuant to above-said decision in the LPA, the present petitioner is seeking directions to consider his claim for appointment as per the representation made by him on 06.04.2016 (Annexure P-11).

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner is not challenging the selection of the selected candidates.

In view of above, without commenting on the merits of the case, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to decide the representation dated 06.04.2016 (Annexure P-11), filed by the petitioner, by passing a speaking order, within a period of three months, in accordance with law.

April 12, 2016
naresh.k

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE