

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.7031 of 2016
Date of Decision:-12.04.2016

Association of NCTE

..... Petitioner

Vs.

Kurukshetra University and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: - Mr.Sandeep Goyat, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

This petition is filed against the *show cause notice* dated 15.3.2016 in which the petitioner has been granted 30 days time to file reply.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is also aggrieved against the instructions issued by the Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra to all Self Financing Colleges/Institutions of Education affiliated with it laying down the norms for the appointment of Principal etc. The contention of the petitioner is that the said norms cannot be set down against the NCTE notification dated 28.11.2014 which has to apply in terms of the Regulation 1.1.1 of the UGC Regulations 2010. It is submitted that because of the instructions, the members of the petitioner-association are only to appoint the faculty and for that reason the *show cause notice* has been issued.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and after examining the record, am of the considered opinion that at the first instance the petitioner may, if so advised, file a reply to the *show cause notice* for which the limitation is still upto 15.4.2016 explaining its stand. The NCTE, who has issued the *show cause notice* is further directed that in case reply is filed as demanded, then the decision shall be taken by it within a week or after the period of 30 days is over.

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE**12.04.2016**

Vivek

VIVEK PAHWA
2016.04.21 10:10
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
High Court Chandigarh