SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
2016.07.13 10:51
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 6958 of 2016 (O/M)
Date of decision : 12.7.2016

Satpaul Goyal ..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others ..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. P.K. Goklaney, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Bansal, Assistant A.G. Punjab.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-.- -.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the amount of medical reimbursement has been paid. Therefore, the petition has become infructuous. Therefore, he wants to withdraw the present petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

12.7.2016
sjks