

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.6888 of 2016

Date of Decision:- 10.5.2016.

Ramesh Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Satish Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Indresh Goel, Advocate for the respondents.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J.

Learned counsel for the respondents submitted that revised result has been declared in which name of the petitioner is reflected at Serial No.62. Therefore, the petition do not survive for consideration.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that on par with juniors, petitioner is entitled to be sent for training. The respondents are directed to send the petitioner for training within a period of one week from today.

Petition stands disposed of.

**(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

May 10, 2016.

sandeep sethi