

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 6790 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 28.09.2016

Taksh Media Pvt. Ltd.

..... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present: Mr. Aditya Grover, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajinder Goyal, Addl. A.G.Punjab.

Mr. Harsimran Singh Sethi, Advocate
for respondents No.3 to 6.

Mr. Anil Rana, Advocate for
Mr. Puneet Gupta, Advocate
for respondent No.7.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL,J.(ORAL)

The petitioner has filed this petition under Articles 226/227 of Constitution of India for quashing the public notice dated 18.03.2016 (Annexure P1) inviting tenders above 2 lacs through e-tendering.

2. On 26.09.2016, during the course of hearing, learned counsel for the respondents stated that the contract has been given to respondent No.7 for ₹ 2.05 crores, whereupon, counsel for the petitioner sought time to seek instructions whether the petitioner was prepared to offer 30% more than the accepted price.

3. Today, learned counsel for the petitioner has informed this Court that the petitioner is not interested in award of the contract by offering 30% more than the bid amount of ₹2.05 crores which has been accepted by the official respondents.

parties, we do not find any justification to exercise the extraordinary jurisdiction vested under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India in the present case.

5. Accordingly, writ petition stands dismissed.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

28.09.2016
smriti

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No