

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No. 6761 of 2016

Date of Decision: 11.04.2016

Roshni Devi and others

..Petitioners

versus

Union Territory, Chandigarh and others

..Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.J.VAZIFDAR, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE.
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI.**

Present : Mr. Kamal Singh, Advocate, for the petitioners.

S.J.VAZIFDAR A.C.J. (Oral)

The petitioners have challenged the order dated 05.10.2015 rejecting their applications for allotment of a plot under the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme, 2006. The applications have been rejected on the ground that the petitioners are not the residents of a notified colony and that they had already been allotted accommodations by the government.

2. The petitioners contend that the allotment is only a service accommodation and that they would have to give it up upon termination of their employment on superannuation or earlier. He further states that they have the necessary documents to establish that they were the residents of a notified colony.

3. The petitioners are, however, unable to invite our attention to any of the documents placed by them before the officer who passed the impugned order. The statement is made that the documents were placed but there is nothing evidencing the same. If the petitioners are aggrieved that the evidence produced by them was not considered by the respondents, they ought to make an application in the first instance before the authority that passed the impugned order seeking recall/review of the impugned order.

4. The petition is accordingly disposed of with liberty to the petitioners as aforesaid.

(S.J.VAZIFDAR)
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

11.04.2016

'ravinder'

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE