

**Sr. No. 109
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.6745 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 21.07.2016**

Nidhi

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. Jagjit Gill, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(ORAL)

Challenge in the instant petition is to the selection and appointment of private respondent No.3 on the post of Science Master (female) in the Department of Education, State of Haryana.

After having heard counsel for the petitioner at length and having perused the pleadings on record, this Court is of the view that the selection and appointment of private respondent No.3 need not be gone into and examined on merits. This view is being taken as counsel admits that the appointment of respondent No.3 was made in the year 2010. The instant petition has been preferred after a period of six years i.e. in 2016.

The delay is sought to be explained by contending that information was sought under the provisions of Right to Information Act and only because relevant information was being sought and collected, delay has occurred in filing the writ petition.

The explanation being put forth by the counsel cannot be construed as sufficient cause to condone the inordinate delay of six years in filing the instant petition.

The extraordinary writ jurisdiction of this Court if at all to be invoked has to be done expeditiously.

The writ petition is dismissed on the ground of delay and laches.

Petition dismissed.

21.07.2016

vandana

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE