

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.6716 of 2016

Date of Decision: April 08, 2016

Vinod Kumar

....Petitioner

versus

Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.B.BAJANTHRI.

Present: Mr.A.S.Jattana, Advocate, for the petitioner.

-. -

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has laid challenge to the order dated 22.03.2016 passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench, whereby his Original Application challenging the order of his transfer from Patiala to Dhuri dated 12.02.2016, has been dismissed.

The petitioner is statedly working as Office Superintendent in the Railways and vide the impugned transfer order he was transferred from Patiala to Dhuri (District Sangrur). The distance from Patiala to Dhuri is hardly 75 kilometers. The aforementioned transfer order was challenged by the petitioner on the ground that he has to look-after his mother who is 76 years old and getting regular treatment from a private hospital at Patiala and his minor son is suffering from Narobrohchial Allergy and taking treatment from a

private Doctor at Patiala.

In our considered view, both the grounds are frivolous. Learned Tribunal has rightly declined to interfere with the impugned transfer order.

Dismissed.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

April 08, 2016
mohinder

[P.B.BAJANTHRI]
JUDGE