

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.6676 of 2016**  
**Date of decision:08.04.2016**

Sonki Srivastava

.... Petitioner

Versus

Debts Recovery Appellate Tribunal and others .... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.J. VAZIFDAR, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE**  
**HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI**

Present: Mr. Ashish Gupta, Advocate and Mr. B.S. Sohal, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*\*

**S.J. VAZIFDAR, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE (ORAL)**

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has no objection to the property being sold in accordance with law. However, the petitioner has certain matrimonial disputes as a result whereof she stays alone in the flat with her minor children. Her only concern is that persons visiting the flat should be bonafide and genuine and should not pose a threat to her or to her children in any manner.

Her request is more than just reasonable. The DRT/ DRAT shall ensure the other persons who visit her flat for the purpose of inspection, do so, only in the company of an officer authorised by the DRT/ DRAT after at least 48 hours notice in writing to the petitioner.

Petition is accordingly disposed of.

**( S.J. VAZIFDAR )**  
**ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE**

**April 08, 2016**  
**renu**

**( ARUN PALLI )**  
**JUDGE**