

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
CWP No.6668 of 2016
Date of decision:08.04.2016

Soban Singh Petitioner
Versus
Union Territory, Chandigarh and others Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.J. VAZIFDAR, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Gautam Diwan, Advocate for the petitioner.

S.J. VAZIFDAR, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE (ORAL)

Issue notice of motion returnable forthwith.

Mr. Jaivir Chandail, Advocate, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Service is waived of.

The petitioner has challenged the notice issued under Section 15 of the Capital of Punjab (Development and Regulation) Act, 1952. From the record, it appears that the petitioner had requested the respondents for two months' time to remove the violation. Respondents, however, granted him only one month to do so.

The petitioner now states that he agreed to remove the violations unaware of the legal position including the circulars issued by the respondent at Annexures P.4 and P.5. In that event, the petitioner's remedy is to show cause before the respondents afresh in respect of the original notice dated 19.11.2015. crania-plasty

In order to enable the petitioner to challenge/ respond to the impugned notice dated 19.11.2015, we direct the respondents and the petitioners to maintain status quo in respect of the premises in all respects till the decision on the fresh application to show cause to the original notice is taken provided the application is made by 25.4.2016. If the

decision is adverse to the petitioner, the same shall not be implemented for a period of two weeks after the service thereof upon the petitioner.

Petition is disposed of.

**(S.J. VAZIFDAR)
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE**

**April 08, 2016
renu**

**(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE**