

**240 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.7487 of 2015
Date of Decision: 17.05.2016**

Mamta

..... Petitioner

Versus

UT Administration, Chandigarh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Lalit Rishi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

None for respondents No.1 and 2.

Ms. Deepali Puri, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

DEEPAK SIBAL J. (ORAL)

In view of the serious dispute on facts so as to whether the petitioner is or is not the legal heir of Sohan Lal thereby entitling her to the grant of family pension, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks withdrawal of the present petition to enable the petitioner to approach the Civil Court for the grant of succession certificate in her favour.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

If any application for the grant of succession certificate is filed by the petitioner, permission for which has been sought and got as above, in view of the fact that the petitioner is claiming family pension and is hundred percent physical disabled person, the trial Court is directed to dispose of such application expeditiously in accordance with law.

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

May 17, 2016
rittu