

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

FAO No. 5975 of 2012 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 05.09.2016

RATTAN LAL

... Appellant

VS.

RAJENDER AND OTHERS.

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Kapil Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Aseem Aggarwal, Advocate,
for respondent No. 6.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?*

KULDIP SINGH, J. (Oral)

There is no representation on behalf of the appellant today. The situation was not different on the last dates of hearing i.e. on 25.04.2016 and 28.07.2015.

In view of non-appearance on behalf of the appellant, the present appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.

September 5, 2016

Suresh Kumar

**[REKHA MITTAL]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes / No