

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.6567 of 2016 (O&M)

DATE OF DECISION: 11.04.2016

Bhagwan SinghPetitioner
versus

State of Punjab and othersRespondents

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. S.J. VAZIFDAR, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI.**

Present: Mr. Kamal Narula, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Alok Jain, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab,
for the respondents.

..

S.J. VAZIFDAR, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE: (Oral)

The petitioner has challenged the respondents' decision of rejection of his tender on the ground that it was non-responsive. One of the terms of the notice inviting tenders required the bidder to supply the Employees Provident Code Number and a copy of EPF registration.

2. According to the respondents, the petitioner did not upload the necessary documents in compliance of clause 1.1. This is so stated in the written statement. The document annexed to the written statement is what according to the respondents was uploaded by the petitioner. The petitioner, however, contends that he had actually uploaded the required documents. A statement to this effect has not been made in the petition probably because the petitioner did not know till this written statement was filed the ground on which his bid had been considered non-responsive.

We are informed that the work order has not been issued as yet.

4. The petition is, therefore, disposed of by directing the respondents to consider the petitioner's representation regarding the decision rendering him ineligible before issuing the work order. The petitioner shall attend the office of respondent No.2 at 11.00 A.M. on 12.04.2016 without further notice and thereafter as directed by respondent No.2.

A copy of this order be given *dasti* to learned Addl. Advocate General, Punjab under the signatures of the Bench Secretary.

(S. J. VAZIFDAR)
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

11.04.2016
ravinder

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE