

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

210

CWP No. 9527 of 2013 (O&M)

Date of decision:-02.02.2016

Dalip Kumar Batra

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL

Present: Mr. Saurabh Singla, Advocate, for
Mr. Sandeep Jasuja, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Nilesh Bhardwaj, DAG, Punjab.

RAJESH BINDAL, J.

Challenge in the present petition is to the communication dated 02.04.2013, vide which the legal notice got issued by the petitioner seeking payment of certain job done by him as contractor was decided.

The claim has been declined to the extent the amount is disputed, which cannot be gone into in the writ jurisdiction, hence the present petition is dismissed being not maintainable. However, the same will not debar the petitioner from availing his appropriate remedy in accordance with law.

02.02.2016

PA

**(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE**