

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.6493 of 2016
Date of Decision:-5.4.2016.

Raj Kumar and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI**

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Birla, Advocate for the petitioners.

SURYA KANT, J. (ORAL)

The BDPO, Sohna has passed the order-cum-final notice dated 23.2.2016 calling upon the petitioner to vacate the residential plot where he has raised unauthorized construction. The plot is owned by the Gram Panchayat and has been allotted to a resident of the village who belongs to NAAI Community, namely, backward classes.

In our considered view, there is a long hierarchy of Officers including the Deputy Commissioner, Gurgaon to whom the petitioner may approach against the decision of the BDPO if he has any claim for the allotment of plot in dispute. Alternatively, the

petitioner shall be at liberty to seek allotment of an alternative plot if he is so entitled to under the Government Policy/Scheme. With liberty aforementioned, the writ petition is disposed of. With a view to enable the petitioner to approach the Deputy Commissioner, let *status quo* re : demolition be maintained for two weeks.

Dasti.

**(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE**

**(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

April 5, 2016.

sandeep sethi