

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

FAO No.5845 of 2012 (O&M)
Date of decision : 03.03.2016

Ram Saroop

...Appellant

Versus

Tata Motors Ltd. and ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment ?
2. To be referred to reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Amit Verma, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Arun Bakshi, Advocate for the respondent No.2.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

The appellant is aggrieved of the dismissal of the objections vis-a-vis the award passed by the Arbitrator at Bombay. The objection petition under Section 34 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, could not have been filed at Principal Court at Jalandhar and rightly so, has been dismissed. The remedy if any is to file the petition under Section 34 of 1996 Act in Bombay Court.

In view of the aforementioned findings, I do not find any illegality and perversity in the order under challenge.

Dismissed.

03.03.2016

pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**