

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.6369 of 2016

Date of Decision:-04.04.2016

Ravinder and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI**

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

SURYA KANT, J. (ORAL)

1.) The Deputy Commissioner, Sonapat has by way of a well-reasoned order declined to approve the Resolution No.5(1) dated 22.8.2014 and Resolution No.6 dated 12.8.2014 passed by Panchayat Samiti, Sonapat as well as Gram Panchayat, Murthal, respectively for the reason that the total area of Gram Panchayat, Murthal is 866 acre (and not less than 500 acre) hence prior permission of Zila Parishad is mandatory under the Statutory Rules before finalization of the utilization plan.

2.) In our considered view, there are ample powers under Section 252 of the Haryana Panchayati Raj Act, 1994 vested with the

State Government to call for the record and scrutinize the validity and propriety of the order passed by the Deputy Commissioner. We, thus, decline to entertain this writ petition and relegate the petitioner to avail the above stated remedy in accordance with law.

3.) Disposed of.

(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

April 04, 2016.

sandeep sethi