

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

C.W.P. No. 6260 of 2016
Date of Decision: December 19, 2016

Ajit Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. R.D. Bawa, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Sheenu Sura, Advocate,
for respondents no.1 to 4.

Mr. Jatinder Pal Singh, Advocate,
for respondent No.6.

P.B. Bajanthri, J. (Oral)

1. In the present writ petition, the petitioner has questioned the validity of notice dated 28.09.2015 (Annexure P/1) for recovery of excess pension payment.

2. Even though, impugned communication dated 28.09.2015 reveals that the notice is for recovery of excess pension payment, however, the respondent - State Bank of India is proceeded to recover excess payment made by the bank to the petitioner.

3. The respondent – Bank is restrained from recovering the excess payment till reply to notice dated 28.09.2015 is filed by the petitioner. The petitioner is directed to file necessary reply to notice dated 28.09.2015 within a period of four weeks from today. The respondent bank is directed

to consider each of the contention and pass appropriate order after receipt of reply to the notice by the petitioner.

4. Writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.

December 19, 2016
vkd

(P.B. Bajanthri)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No